

Economic Impact of Travel & Tourism - Traveler Spending


Rank	County	2002 Tourism Spending	Percent of State Total	Rank	County	2002 Tourism Spending	Percent of State Total
1	Salt Lake	\$1,786,700,000	43.1%	16	Tooele	\$36,500,000	0.9%
2	Washington	\$447,400,000	10.8%	17	Sanpete	\$21,800,000	0.5%
3	Summit	\$402,100,000	9.7%	18	Box Elder	\$22,700,000	0.5%
4	Davis	\$291,400,000	7.0%	19	San Juan	\$21,900,000	0.5%
5	Utah	\$214,200,000	5.2%	20	Millard	\$21,200,000	0.4%
6	Weber	\$218,300,000	5.3%	21	Duchesne	\$19,200,000	0.4%
7	Iron	\$128,400,000	3.1%	22	Beaver	\$19,300,000	0.4%
8	Grand	\$111,400,000	2.7%	23	Juab	\$13,500,000	0.3%
9	Uintah	\$54,200,000	1.3%	24	Emery	\$12,400,000	0.3%
10	Wasatch	\$52,500,000	1.3%	25	Morgan	\$10,000,000	0.2%
11	Kane	\$49,700,000	1.2%	26	Daggett	\$8,400,000	0.2%
12	Cache	\$46,700,000	1.1%	27	Wayne	\$6,900,000	0.2%
13	Sevier	\$46,000,000	1.1%	28	Rich	\$2,700,000	0.1%
14	Garfield	\$36,700,000	0.9%	29	Piute	\$2,600,000	0.1%
15	Carbon	\$36,800,000	0.9%				

Estimated Spending by Travelers 1997 to 2002

County	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001 (old)	2001 (new)	2002	2001-2002
Beaver	\$23,300,000	\$24,300,000	\$24,100,000	\$24,500,000	\$23,100,000	\$19,300,000	\$19,300,000	0.0%
Box Elder	\$35,200,000	\$37,200,000	\$38,800,000	\$37,900,000	\$35,400,000	\$22,700,000	\$22,700,000	0.0%
Cache	\$70,900,000	\$74,500,000	\$75,700,000	\$76,800,000	\$72,800,000	\$46,700,000	\$46,700,000	0.0%
Carbon	\$38,900,000	\$40,600,000	\$38,800,000	\$33,500,000	\$32,600,000	\$36,000,000	\$36,800,000	2.2%
Daggett	\$10,000,000	\$10,500,000	\$11,000,000	\$11,700,000	\$10,500,000	\$8,600,000	\$8,400,000	-2.3%
Davis	\$259,200,000	\$266,000,000	\$272,700,000	\$282,100,000	\$277,600,000	\$291,100,000	\$291,400,000	0.1%
Duchesne	\$25,200,000	\$25,900,000	\$25,800,000	\$26,300,000	\$25,300,000	\$19,100,000	\$19,200,000	0.5%
Emery	\$15,500,000	\$15,100,000	\$13,800,000	\$13,400,000	\$13,600,000	\$12,400,000	\$12,400,000	0.0%
Garfield	\$53,700,000	\$52,600,000	\$59,400,000	\$54,800,000	\$50,800,000	\$36,500,000	\$36,700,000	0.5%
Grand	\$100,900,000	\$98,700,000	\$101,300,000	\$99,200,000	\$96,500,000	\$101,800,000	\$111,400,000	9.4%
Iron	\$83,000,000	\$86,600,000	\$80,200,000	\$78,400,000	\$75,500,000	\$127,300,000	\$128,400,000	0.9%
Juab	\$18,200,000	\$18,600,000	\$19,100,000	\$17,900,000	\$18,200,000	\$13,500,000	\$13,500,000	0.0%
Kane	\$54,500,000	\$55,700,000	\$49,600,000	\$48,000,000	\$44,900,000	\$53,300,000	\$49,700,000	-6.8%
Millard	\$23,000,000	\$24,300,000	\$24,800,000	\$23,800,000	\$23,000,000	\$21,200,000	\$21,200,000	0.0%
Morgan	\$6,600,000	\$6,500,000	\$6,800,000	\$6,800,000	\$7,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	0.0%
Piute	\$1,100,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,700,000	\$1,800,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,600,000	\$2,600,000	0.0%
Rich	\$8,800,000	\$9,300,000	\$9,800,000	\$10,100,000	\$10,000,000	\$3,100,000	\$2,700,000	-12.9%
Salt Lake	\$1,934,500,000	\$1,981,100,000	\$2,038,100,000	\$2,060,800,000	\$2,013,500,000	\$2,018,100,000	\$1,786,700,000	-11.5%
San Juan	\$43,900,000	\$44,800,000	\$45,700,000	\$43,100,000	\$37,400,000	\$21,900,000	\$21,900,000	0.0%
Sanpete	\$24,800,000	\$24,200,000	\$23,500,000	\$24,200,000	\$21,300,000	\$21,800,000	\$21,800,000	0.0%
Sevier	\$37,300,000	\$36,800,000	\$35,900,000	\$35,400,000	\$33,900,000	\$37,200,000	\$46,000,000	23.7%
Summit	\$297,100,000	\$307,700,000	\$316,100,000	\$332,300,000	\$332,900,000	\$364,400,000	\$402,100,000	10.3%
Tooele	\$32,800,000	\$33,300,000	\$36,100,000	\$38,300,000	\$37,600,000	\$36,500,000	\$36,500,000	0.0%
Uintah	\$41,900,000	\$43,800,000	\$45,000,000	\$45,700,000	\$43,600,000	\$54,200,000	\$54,200,000	0.0%
Utah	\$286,000,000	\$291,800,000	\$297,600,000	\$310,800,000	\$308,300,000	\$214,000,000	\$214,200,000	0.1%
Wasatch	\$40,700,000	\$44,500,000	\$47,900,000	\$49,200,000	\$47,100,000	\$52,500,000	\$52,500,000	0.0%
Washington	\$218,300,000	\$223,200,000	\$230,400,000	\$233,200,000	\$229,800,000	\$388,600,000	\$447,400,000	15.1%
Wayne	\$11,600,000	\$13,400,000	\$12,900,000	\$13,700,000	\$12,800,000	\$6,900,000	\$6,900,000	0.0%
Weber	\$203,300,000	\$207,600,000	\$217,400,000	\$216,200,000	\$212,800,000	\$218,000,000	\$218,300,000	0.1%
State of Utah	\$4,000,000,000	\$4,100,000,000	\$4,200,000,000	\$4,250,000,000	\$4,150,000,000	\$4,259,300,000	\$4,141,600,000	-2.8%


Note: 2001(o) represents statistics using last year's methodology (old). 2001(n) represents statistics using a new methodology. See the methodology section in the appendix for details.

Economic Impact of Travel & Tourism - Traveler Spending



This year the Department of Community and Economic Development and Division of Travel Development used county-level taxable sales and services and personal income data to arrive at both state and county level traveler spending estimates. County travel and tourism related spending was calculated from taxable sales and services, weighted by county total personal income to account for residents, times county share of travel and tourism related employment. This methodology is an attempt to account for in-state vs. non-resident tourist as well as inter-county travel. Statewide travel and tourism spending is the aggregate total of county spending, adjusted by an estimate of inter-county non-“leisure travel” spending derived from the county taxable sales and personal income data. The state estimate was then checked against survey-derived estimates of non-resident traveler spending in Utah.

See Appendix B for complete discussion of methodology.



Note: 2001(o) represents statistics using last year's methodology (old). 2001(n) represents statistics using a new methodology. See the methodology section in the appendix for details.